

Double-blind peer review for text submitted to philosophical quarterly

„Analiza i Egzystencja”

Title:

1. I declare that I don't know the identity of the author.

2. Are there any charges concerning the text? (please tick boxes below):

- it is not clear enough;
- its theses are not justified enough;
- it does not cover the important literature on the subject;
- it brings nothing new to the field;
- it uses the works of other scholars in improper way;
- it is too long or the use of footnotes is improper;
- its language is incorrect;
- its title is not adequate to the content;
- its summary is not informative
- other, namely...

3. Reasons for the charges: ...

4. Changes needed: ...

5. General evaluation of the text:

- it can be accepted in present form;
- It can be accepted after corrections are made,
 - it should be submitted and evaluated again;
 - it need not be evaluated again;
- It cannot be accepted because
 - it is below the academic level;
 - its subject is not philosophical;
 - other, namely:

6. Points from 0 to 10 (if text is accepted):

- justification of the theses
- exhaustivity of the literature
- originality
- style correctness